# SOLICITOR

TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark OFFEB 2 1 2008 REPORT ON THE

P.O. Box 1450

FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN

P.O. Box 1450
ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised

that a court action has been	filed in the U.S. District	Court San Diego on t	he following Pat	ents or Trademarks:		
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED		U.S. DISTRICT COURT			
07-CV-2130-H	11/07/2007		Southern District of California			
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT					
Clever Sys., Inc.		Viewpoint Life Sciences, Inc.				
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.		TF	PATENT OR RADEMARK NO.		
1 See complaint 7,209,588	6		11			
2	7		12			
3	8		13			
4	9		14			
5	10		15			
In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s)/trademark(s) have been included:						
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY Amendment	Answer	Cross Bill	Other Pleading		
	PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.		PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.			
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.			TI			
TRADEMARK NO.			T1			
TRADEMARK NO.	TRADEMA					
TRADEMARK NO.	TRADEM 6		11			
TRADEMARK NO.  1 2	6 7		11			
TRADEMARK NO.  1 2 3	7 8		11 12 13			
1 2 3 4 5 5	7 8 9	ARK NO.	11 12 13 14	RADEMARK NO.		
TRADEMARK NO.  1 2 3 4 5 In the above-enti	TRADEMA  6  7  8  9  10  tled case, the following of	lecision has been rene	11 12 13 14	RADEMARK NO.		
TRADEMARK NO.  1 2 3 4 5 In the above-enti	TRADEM A 6 7 8 9 10 tled case, the following of	lecision has been rene	11 12 13 14	RADEMARK NO.		

5

10

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25.

26 27

28

Plaintiff Clever Sys., Inc. ("Plaintiff") avers as follows for its Complaint for Patent Infringement against Defendant ViewPoint Life Sciences, Inc. ("Defendant"):

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 100, et seq.
- 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred within this District. Beginning on November 3, 2007, Defendant attended the annual meeting of the Society for Neuroscience in San Diego at which it used, sold and offered to sell behavior analysis systems which were imported into the United States and are believed to infringe Plaintiff's patent. See Exhibit A attached hereto.

## THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Virginia, with its principal place of business located in Reston, Virginia.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Canada, with its principal place of business located in Montreal, Quebec.

#### **NATURE OF ACTION**

5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C § 100, et seq., from Defendant's direct infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducement to infringe, United States Patent No. 7,209,588, (the "'588 patent"), entitled "UNIFIED SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ANIMAL BEHAVIOR CHARACTERIZATION IN HOME CAGES USING VIDEO ANALYSIS," issued on April 24, 2007. A copy of the '588 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

3 4

6

7

5

8 9

11 12

. 10

13 14

15

17

16

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

# **COUNT I**

# PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 6. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the averments in Paragraphs 1 through 5 as if fully set forth herein.
- 7. Plaintiff is the sole owner by assignment of all rights, title and interest in and to the '588 patent.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '588 patent. The infringing acts include, but are not limited to, the making, using, selling, offering for sale and importing into the United States and within this judicial district products that directly infringe, contributorily infringe and/or induce infringement of the '588 patent.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant has actively induced and is actually inducing other to infringe the '588 patent by instructing others to purchase and use their systems in ways that infringe the '588 patent.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant has actual notice of the '588 patent owned by Plaintiff.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant has not had, nor does it presently have, a reasonable basis for believing it has a right to engage in the acts complained of herein, and its infringement willful and deliberate, making this an exceptional case and justifying the award of treble damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 and attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE; Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court issue relief as follows:

- A. A judgment declaring that Defendant has infringed, actively induced infringement, and/or committed acts of contributory infringement with respect to the claims of the '588 patent;
- B. A judgment declaring that Defendant's infringements of the '588 patent are and have been willful and deliberate;

6161666

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Document 7

Case 3:07-cv-02130-H-NLS

Page 1 of 2

Filed 01/25/2008

1	Defendant ViewPoint Life Sciences, Inc. ("Defendant") has not answered or filed a motion for						
2	summary judgment. As such, Plaintiff CleverSys., Inc. ("Plaintiff"), is entitled to, and does hereby,						
3	voluntarily dismiss this action pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules Civil Procedure.						
4							
5	Dated: January 25, 2008	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAU	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP				
6							
7		By: /s/ Joanna H	. Kim				
8		Attorneys for CLEVERSY	Plaintiff				
9		CLEVERSI	S, INC.				
10							
11							
12	·						
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20 21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	6195074	RULE 41(A) VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL					
	I <b>I</b>		Core No. 07 CV 2120 H AIT SY				